



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/750,423		12/28/2000	Ralf Rick	10191/1665	7857	
26646	7590	05/13/2004		EXAMINER		
KENYON	& KENY	ON		CHEN, SHIN HON		
ONE BROA		0004		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2131		
11211 1010	,					
				DATE MAILED: 05/13/2004	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\$

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>				
	09/750,423	RICK, RALF					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>				
	Shin-Hon Chen	2131					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ywithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) diwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this comi IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	pril 2001.						
·— · · _ —	action is non-final.						
•	·-						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2000 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the	wn from consideration. or election requirement. or. or. ore: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object		er.				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National St	age				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal		52)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.	6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-8 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 5, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Larsson et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6226747 (hereinafter Larsson)
- 4. As per claims 1, Larsson discloses a device for preventing pirated copies of computer programs for use with a computer (Larsson: column 3 line 42 column 4 line 38), comprising: input and output device for bi-directional data exchange with the computer (Larsson: column 3 line 42 column 4 line 38); a first memory element containing a data file that is transferable to the computer via the output device (Larsson: column 5 lines 4-8: compare the data stored in the floppy disk with information stored on CD); and a second memory element into which data is writable by the input device (Larsson: column 9 lines 19-35: records computer identification information).

Application/Control Number: 09/750,423

Art Unit: 2131

5. As per claim 4, Larsson discloses the device according to claim 1. Larsson further discloses wherein the input and output devices are adapted to a module port of the computer so that the input and output devices are insertable into the module port (Larsson: column 3 line 42 – column 4 line 37: inserting the floppy disk). Floppy disk provides input/output function and uses input/output port of a computer to read/write data to a computer.

Page 3

- 6. As per claim 5, Larsson discloses a method of preventing pirated copies of computer programs (Larsson: column 3 line 42 column 4 line 38), comprising the steps of: connecting a device to a computer for bi-directional data exchange (Larsson: column 3 line 42 column 4 line 38), the device including input and output devices and first and second memory elements (Larsson: column 5 lines 4-8 and column 8 lines 20-31: reading license information for verification; column 9 lines 19-34: writing computer identification information to memory); transferring a first data file containing a key from the first memory element of the device to the computer (Larsson: column 8 lines 20-49); and copying a second data file containing an identifier from the computer to the second memory element of the device (Larsson: column 9 lines 19-33).
- As per claim 8, Larsson discloses a data carrier storing a computer program (Larsson: column 3 line 42 column 4 line 38), the computer program being executable by entering the data carrier into a computer (Larsson: column 3 line 42 column 4 line 38), the data carrier containing a key and an identifier (Larsson: column 8 lines 20-49 and column 9 lines 5-33), the computer program, upon execution, carrying out the following steps: transferring a first data file

Application/Control Number: 09/750,423 Page 4

Art Unit: 2131

containing the key from a first memory element of a device to the computer (Larsson: column 5 lines 4-8 and column 8 lines 20-49), the device further including input and output devices (Larsson: column 8 lines 20-49 and column 9 lines 5-33); and copying a second data file containing the identifier from the computer to a second memory element of the device (Larsson: column 9 lines 5-33).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larsson in view of Pavlin et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6523119 (hereinafter Pavlin).
- 10. As per claim 2, Larsson discloses the device according to claim 1. Larsson does not explicitly disclose wherein the first and second memory elements are integrated into a memory chip. However, Pavlin discloses dongle/hardware key includes memory chip (Pavlin: column 4 line 66 column 5 line 15). It is well known in the art to include memory chips in dongle/hardware keys which are used to protect software from illicitly used. Pavlin reference discloses read only memory chips such as EEPROM. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to change the memory chip disclosed by Pavlin to read/write memory chip to allow dynamic read/write function as supported by floppy disks and the floppy

Application/Control Number: 09/750,423 Page 5

Art Unit: 2131

disk information disclosed by Larsson is stored in read-only area while the computer identification information is to be inputted into writable area of a memory chip. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Pavlin within the system of Larsson because it is well known in the art to use different types of memory to perform security processes and it does not reduce the performance of the system.

Alternatively, Larsson also discloses that other types of memory can be implemented (Larsson: column 5 lines 23-28).

- 11. As per claim 3, Larsson as modified discloses the device according to claim 2. Larsson as modified further discloses wherein the memory chip is a nonvolatile semiconductor memory (Pavlin: column 4 line 66 column 5 line 15). Same rationale applies here as above in rejecting claim 2.
- 12. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larsson in Kupka U.S. Pat. No.6434535 (hereinafter Kupka) and further in view of Mullor et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6411941 (hereinafter Mullor).
- 13. As per claim 6, Larsson discloses the method according to claim 5. Larsson does not explicitly disclose the method further comprising the step of entering into the computer an enable number encoded with the key. However, Kupka further discloses entering license information into the computer for verification (Kupka: column 1 lines 35-57). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to prompt users to enter license information for comparison is

Application/Control Number: 09/750,423

Art Unit: 2131

well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Kupka within the system of Larsson.

Larsson as modified does not explicitly disclose entering encoded enable number encoded by key stored in the memory. However, Mullor discloses comparing decrypted license information stored in the computer (Mullor column 6 lines 28-39). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the Larsson reference to store key for decrypting encrypted license information, which is well known in the art, and modify the Kupka reference by entering encrypted license information that can be decrypted using the key provided in the memory and then compare the result. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the references to enter encrypted license information into computer and decrypt it using key stored in memory device since the applicant does not explicitly disclose entering encrypted license information and then decrypt it using key stored in the memory solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that comparing license information stored in the memory with the license information stored in the software would perform equally well for verification.

- 14. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larsson.
- 15. As per claim 7, Larsson discloses the method according to claim 5. Larsson does not explicitly disclose the step of transferring the key from the computer back to the connected device after checking the identifier. However, Larsson discloses uninstalling/removing the software from the computer in order to install on another computer and removing the computer

Page 6

Art Unit: 2131

identification from the file (Larsson: column 10 line 36 – column 11 lines 29). It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the Larsson reference to transfer the key back from the computer back to the connected device after checking the identifier since the applicant does not disclose transferring the key back solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that uninstalling the software from the computer would perform equally well.

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Benson U.S. Pat. No. 6334118 discloses using dongle or hardware key to keep track of rented software by storing usage information in the dongle (column 1 lines 42-64).

Ahmad U.S. Pat. No. 5925127 discloses method and system for monitoring the use of rented software.

Abdulhayoglu PCT No. WO9406071 discloses a dongle in form of a floppy disk.

Takenaka et al. U.S. Pat. No. 5917908 discloses purchasing license key to decrypt the protected software (column 1 line 22 – column 3 line 53).

Mu et. al. U.S. Pat. No. 5343524 discloses intelligent security device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shin-Hon Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-8654. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/750,423

Art Unit: 2131

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (703) 305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shin-Hon Chen Examiner Art Unit 2131

SC

AYAZ SHEIKH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100